

BAR COMPLEXES AND FORMALITY OF PULL-BACKS

STEVEN LILLYWHITE

ABSTRACT. We prove a result concerning formality of the pull-back of a fibration. Our approach is to use bar complexes in the category of commutative differential graded algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we show that the pull-back of a fibration by a formal map is formal. The fibration is required to be totally non-homologous to zero, and to be a formal map as well. We are here referring to the notion of formality in the setting of rational homotopy theory. This result extends a theorem of Vigué-Poirrier, [10], where it is proved that the fibre of such a fibration is a formal space. Our proof makes use of bar complexes, which, when we use a normalization due to Chen, become commutative differential graded algebras useful for rational homotopy theory. We conclude with an example which generalizes a result of Baum and Smith, [2].

2. REVIEW OF RATIONAL HOMOTOPY THEORY

In this section we briefly recall some notions from rational homotopy theory. References for this material are numerous and we mention [1], [3], [9].

We introduce the category of commutative differential graded algebras over a field k of characteristic zero. We assume that all algebras are concentrated in non-negative degrees, have a differential which raises degree by one, and are augmented. Furthermore, we shall assume that $H^0(A) \approx k$ for all algebras A . We denote this category by $kCDGA$ and refer to objects in it as $kCDGA$'s. A morphism of $kCDGA$'s which induces an isomorphism on cohomology is called a *quasi-isomorphism*. There is a notion of homotopy between maps of $kCDGA$'s which becomes an equivalence relation on the set of maps from A_1 to A_2 , when the source, A_1 , is of a special type called a *KS complex*, which is basically a free algebra whose differential respects an ordering on the generators.

Among the KS complexes in $kCDGA$ is an important class called *minimal* algebras, which are essentially characterized by being free with decomposable differential. For every $kCDGA$ A , there exists a minimal $kCDGA$ $\mathcal{M}$, and a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 57T30, 55P62. Secondary: 55T20.

Key words and phrases. Bar complexes, differential graded algebras, rational homotopy theory, formality.

Such a minimal algebra is called a *minimal model* of A . It is unique up to isomorphism, and furthermore, a map of algebras $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ determines a map $f : \mathcal{M}(A_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A_2)$ which is unique up to homotopy. An algebra A is called *formal* if there are quasi-isomorphisms of k CDGA's $A \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow H(A)$. This is equivalent to demanding that there be a sequence of k CDGA quasi-isomorphisms $A \leftarrow A_1 \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow A_n \rightarrow H(A)$.

If X is a path-connected topological space, then there is a functor which associates to X a k CDGA $A(X)$, known as the Sullivan-de Rham algebra. For a large class of spaces, including simply-connected spaces of finite $\mathbb{Q}$ -type (see definition below), the minimal model of $A(X)$ determines the rational homotopy of X , $\pi^\bullet(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We say that a space X is *formal* if $A(X)$ is formal. Then for these formal spaces, their rational homotopy is determined by their cohomology algebras.

3. BAR COMPLEXES AND EILENBERG-MOORE THEORY

In this section we shall discuss the theory of Eilenberg and Moore concerning pull-backs of fibrations. For references, see [7], [8], or [5]. For the rest of the paper, we shall assume that all spaces are path-connected and of finite k -type (meaning that $H^n(X; k)$ is finite-dimensional for all $n \geq 1$). We shall also assume that all fibrations are Serre fibrations.

Let us suppose that we have a fibration $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ and a map $f : X \rightarrow B$, so that we obtain a pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_f & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & E \\ \tilde{p} \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Then the maps f^* and p^* make $A^\bullet(X)$ and $A^\bullet(E)$ (differential graded) modules over $A^\bullet(B)$. Let us assume that B is simply-connected. Then a theorem of Eilenberg and Moore asserts that there is an isomorphism

$$\theta : \text{Tor}_{A^\bullet(B)}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(E)) \rightarrow H^\bullet(E_f). \quad (2)$$

We may use the bar resolution to obtain a resolution of, say, $A^\bullet(X)$ by $A^\bullet(B)$ -modules. Since we are considering $A^\bullet(-)$ to be the Sullivan-de Rham complex, we will be using Chen's normalized bar resolution, see [4] or [6].

More specifically, the bar complex is

$$B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A^\bullet(X) \otimes_k (sA^\bullet(B))^{\otimes i} \otimes_k A^\bullet(E) \quad (3)$$

where the tensor products are over the ground field k , and s denotes the suspension functor on graded vector spaces which lowers degree by one. Hence the degree of an element $(\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \beta)$ is: $\deg(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^k (\deg(\omega_i) - 1) + \deg(\beta)$, where $\alpha \in A^\bullet(X)$, $\omega_i \in A^\bullet(B)$,

and $\beta \in A^\bullet(E)$. Actually, the bar complex is bigraded. We introduce the *bar degree*, denoted $B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))_\bullet$. The bar degree of an element $(\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \beta)$ is defined to be $-k$. The other grading is the normal tensor product grading, the degree of an element $(\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \beta)$ being $\deg(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^k \deg(\omega_i) + \deg(\beta)$.

There are two differentials of total degree $+1$:

$$d(\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) = (d\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\varepsilon_{i-1}+1} (\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, d\omega_i, \omega_{i+1}, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) \\ & + (-1)^{\varepsilon_k} (\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, d\beta) \\ -\delta(\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, n) & = (-1)^{\varepsilon_0} (\alpha\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{\varepsilon_i} (\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, \omega_i\omega_{i+1}, \omega_{i+2}, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) \\ & + (-1)^{\varepsilon_{k-1}+1} (\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{k-1}, \omega_k\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\varepsilon_i = \deg\alpha + \deg\omega_1 + \dots + \deg\omega_i - i$. The differential δ has degree $+1$ with respect to the bar grading, while the differential d has degree $+1$ with respect to the tensor product grading. One may verify that $d\delta + \delta d = 0$, and we put $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d + \delta$ to be the total differential. With the given bigrading, we get a double complex with the two differentials d and δ . If we filter the bar complex so that we take d -cohomology first in the associated spectral sequence, then we obtain the spectral sequence of Eilenberg and Moore.

Chen's normalized version of this bar complex is the following. If $f \in A^0(B)$, let $S_i(f)$ be the operator on $B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))$ defined by

$$S_i(f)(\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) = (\alpha, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, f, \omega_i, \dots, \omega_k, \beta) \quad (6)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Let W be the subspace of $B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))$ generated by the images of $S_i(f)$ and $DS_i(f) - S_i(f)D$. Then define

$$\bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))/W. \quad (7)$$

Then W is closed under D and when $H^0(B) = k$ (B is connected), then W is acyclic so that $\bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))$. Notice that in the normalized bar complex, there are no elements of negative degree, and with our assumption that B is simply-connected, we are assured convergence of the associated Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. The map θ mentioned above is induced by the map

$$\theta : B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) \rightarrow A^\bullet(E_f) \quad (8)$$

which sends all tensor products to zero except for $A^\bullet(X) \otimes_k A^\bullet(E)$, where the map is: $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \tilde{p}^* \alpha \wedge \tilde{f}^* \beta$. Note that $\theta(W) = 0$, so that we get an induced map

$$\theta : \bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) \rightarrow A^\bullet(E_f). \quad (9)$$

The bar complex computes Tor , and the theorem of Eilenberg and Moore states that this map θ is a quasi-isomorphism.

The normalized bar complex may also be augmented. The augmentation, ε , maps all elements of positive total degree to zero. The elements of degree zero have the form (f, g) , where $f \in A^0(X)$ and $g \in A^0(E)$. Then we define $\varepsilon(f, g) = \varepsilon_X(f)\varepsilon_E(g) = f(x_0)g(e_0)$, where x_0 and e_0 are chosen base-points in X and E , respectively, and $\varepsilon_X, \varepsilon_E$ are the augmentations of $A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(E)$, respectively. If we choose base-points so that the pull-back diagram above preserves all base-points, then θ is an augmentation preserving map.

The bar complex has a natural coalgebra structure. Since we are inputting $k\text{CDGA}$'s to the bar complex, we also obtain a commutative differential graded algebra structure on the bar complex via the shuffle product.

More specifically, if $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_q)$ are two ordered sets, then a *shuffle* σ of $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$ with $(b_1, \dots, b_q)$ is a permutation of the ordered set $(a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q)$ which preserves the order of the a_i 's as well as the order of the b_j 's. That is, we demand that if $i < j$, then $\sigma(a_i) < \sigma(a_j)$ and $\sigma(b_i) < \sigma(b_j)$.

We obtain a product on $\bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))$ by first taking the normal tensor product on the $A^\bullet(X) \otimes A^\bullet(E)$ factors, then taking the tensor product of this product with the shuffle product on the $A^\bullet(B)^{\otimes i}$ factors. As usual, we introduce a sign $(-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|}$ whenever α is moved past β . The actual formula for the product is the following. Let $a, x \in A^\bullet(X)$, $b_i, y_i \in A^\bullet(B)$, and $c, z \in A^\bullet(E)$.

$$(a, b_1, \dots, b_k, c) \bullet (x, y_1, \dots, y_l, z) = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\eta + n_{\sigma}} (ax, \sigma(b_1, \dots, b_k; y_1, \dots, y_l), cz) \quad (10)$$

where $\eta = |c||x| + |x|(|b_1| + \dots + |b_k| - k) + |c|(|y_1| + \dots + |y_l| - l)$, the sum is over all shuffles σ of the set $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ with the set $(y_1, \dots, y_l)$, and

$$n_{\sigma} = \sum_{(i,j)} (|b_i| - 1)(|y_j| - 1)$$

where the sum is over all pairs (i, j) such that b_i is moved past y_j in the shuffle σ . One may check that this product is associative, graded commutative, and that the differential D is a derivation with respect to this product.

Lemma 3.1. *The product defined above induces a product on Chen's normalized bar complex.*

Proof. We have that $\bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) = B(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))/W$. We will show that W is an ideal. Now W is generated by the images of $S_i(f)$ and $DS_i(f) - S_i(f)D$. It is obvious that $\alpha \bullet S_i(f)\beta \in W$ for any α, β . Moreover, $\alpha \bullet (DS_i(f)\beta -$

$S_i(f)D\beta) = \alpha \bullet DS_i(f)\beta - \alpha \bullet S_i(f)D\beta$. Now $\alpha \bullet S_i(f)D\beta \in W$ as we just noted. Moreover, since D is a derivation, we have

$$D(\alpha \bullet S_i(f)\beta) = D\alpha \bullet S_i(f)\beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \bullet DS_i(f)\beta \quad (11)$$

Now, $\alpha \bullet S_i(f)\beta \in W$, and W is closed under D , so the left-hand side of 11 is in W . Also, $D\alpha \bullet S_i(f)\beta \in W$. Hence, $\alpha \bullet DS_i(f)\beta \in W$ as well. $\square$

We have arrived at the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that we have the pull-back diagram 1, where p is a fibration and B is simply connected. Then the normalized bar complex*

$$\bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E))$$

is a k CDGA. Moreover,

$$\theta : \bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) \rightarrow A^\bullet(E_f)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of k CDGA's.

Remark 3.3. We note that Chen's normalization is functorial. That is, if we have a commutative diagram of k CDGA's

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_2 & \longleftarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_1 & \longleftarrow & B_1 & \longrightarrow & C_1 \end{array} \quad (12)$$

then we get a map of k CDGA's $\bar{B}(A_1, B_1, C_1) \rightarrow \bar{B}(A_2, B_2, C_2)$.

The next lemma concerns quasi-isomorphisms of bar complexes. The main idea of the proof may be found in [10], Lemme 4.3.3, and so we omit the proof here.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $A_1 \leftarrow B_1 \rightarrow C_1$ and $A_2 \leftarrow B_2 \rightarrow C_2$ are two sequences of maps of k CDGA's with $H^1(B_1) = 0 = H^1(B_2)$. Suppose further that B_1 is a KS-complex, and that we have a homotopy commutative diagram of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_2 & \longleftarrow & B_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A_1 & \longleftarrow & B_1 & \longrightarrow & C_1 \end{array} \quad (13)$$

where the vertical arrows are all k CDGA quasi-isomorphisms. Then $\bar{B}(A_1, B_1, C_1)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\bar{B}(A_2, B_2, C_2)$ in k CDGA (via a sequence of k CDGA quasi-isomorphisms).

4. FORMALITY OF PULL-BACKS

We can use the normalized bar complex to extend a result of Vigué-Poirrier concerning formality of the fiber of a fibration, [10], Théorème 4.4.4. Our proof is also shorter and more direct than in [10], which deals with more general considerations.

Definition 4.1. Suppose that $A \xleftarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ are morphisms of k CDGA's. Then we shall say that f and g are *compatibly formal* if there exists a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xleftarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{M}(A) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(C) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H(A) & \xleftarrow{f} & H(B) & \xrightarrow{g} & H(C)
 \end{array} \tag{14}$$

where the middle row are minimal models for A, B , and C , and the vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms. We shall say that maps, f, g , of spaces $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{g} Z$ are *compatibly formal* if the corresponding maps $A(X) \xleftarrow{f} A(Y) \xrightarrow{g} A(Z)$ are compatibly formal.

Consider again the pull-back diagram 1 where p is a fibration with fiber F , and B is simply-connected.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that p and f are compatibly formal maps, and suppose that the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration p degenerates at the E_2 term. Then the pull-back E_f is formal.*

Proof. By 3.2 we have a quasi-isomorphism of k CDGA's

$$\theta : \bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) \rightarrow A^\bullet(E_f) \tag{15}$$

By the assumption of compatible formality, we have a homotopy commutative diagram whose vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A^\bullet(X) & \longleftarrow & A^\bullet(B) & \longrightarrow & A^\bullet(E) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{M}(X) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(E) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^\bullet(X) & \longleftarrow & H^\bullet(B) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(E)
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Then we obtain a sequence of k CDGA quasi-isomorphisms

$$\bar{B}(A^\bullet(X), A^\bullet(B), A^\bullet(E)) \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E)) \quad (17)$$

by 3.4. Now the bar complex

$$\bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E))$$

has only a single differential, δ . Since we have assumed the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration p to degenerate at the E_2 term, it follows that $H^\bullet(E)$ is a free $H^\bullet(B)$ -module. Thus if we grade according to the bar degree for δ , we find that

1. $H_+(\bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E)))_\bullet = 0$
2. $H_0(\bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E)))_\bullet \approx H_\bullet(\bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E)))_\bullet$.

Hence the projection to cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E))_\bullet &\rightarrow \bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E))_0 \\ &\rightarrow H_\bullet(\bar{B}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(B), H^\bullet(E)))_\bullet \\ &\approx H^\bullet(E_f) \end{aligned}$$

is a k CDGA quasi-isomorphism and E_f is consequently formal. □

5. AN EXAMPLE

Suppose that B is a simply-connected space with the property that the cohomology of B is a free k CDGA. Then B is a formal space, and the cohomology, $H^\bullet(B)$, is a minimal model for $A^\bullet(B)$. Let $E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fibration with E a formal space, and let X be a formal space with a map to B , $f : X \rightarrow B$. Then it is easy to see that f and p are compatibly formal.

Let E_f be the pull-back of the fibration p by the map f , as in diagram 1. Then 3.2 and 3.4 imply that $H^\bullet(E_f)$ and $\text{Tor}_{H^\bullet(B)}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(E))$ are isomorphic as algebras. This extends a result of Baum and Smith, [2], where this was proven by other means for X a compact globally symmetric space, and $E = BH$, $B = BG$, for G a compact, connected Lie group, and $H \subset G$ a closed, connected subgroup. Moreover, if the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $E \xrightarrow{p} B$ degenerates at the E_2 term, then by 4.2, we have that E is a formal space.

REFERENCES

1. C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological methods in transformation groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
2. P. Baum and L. Smith, *The real cohomology of differentiable fibre bundles*, Comment. Math. Helv. **42** (1967), 171–179.
3. A.K. Bousfield and V.K.A.M. Gugenheim, *On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type*, no. 179, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 1976.
4. K.T. Chen, *Reduced bar constructions on de Rham complexes*, Algebra, Topology, and Category Theory, pp. 19–32, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

5. S. Eilenberg and J.C. Moore, *Homology and fibrations I*, Comm. Math. Helv. **40** (1966), 199–236.
6. E. Getzler, J.D.S. Jones, and S. Petrack, *Differential forms on loop spaces and the cyclic bar complex*, Topology **30** (1991), 339–371.
7. J. McCleary, *User's guide to spectral sequences*, Publish or Perish, 1985.
8. L. Smith, *Homological algebra and the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence*, T.A.M.S. **129** (1967), 58–93.
9. D. Tanre, *Homotopie rationnelle: Modèles de Chen, Quillen, Sullivan*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1025, Springer, 1983.
10. M. Vigué-Poirrier, *Réalisation de morphismes donnés en cohomologie et suite spectrale d'Eilenberg-Moore*, T.A.M.S. **265** (1981), 447–484.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 100 ST. GEORGE ST., TORONTO, ONTARIO, M5S 3G3

E-mail address: `sml@math.toronto.edu`